

Public Document Pack

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Legal & Democratic Services

Strategic Director: John Williams

📍 Civic Centre, Victoria Avenue, Southend-on-Sea, Essex SS2 6ER

☎ 01702 215000

🌐 www.southend.gov.uk

Working to make
lives better
www.southend.gov.uk



30 October 2019

Dear Councillor

CABINET COMMITTEE - MONDAY, 4TH NOVEMBER, 2019

Please find enclosed, for consideration at the next meeting of the Cabinet Committee taking place on Monday, 4th November, 2019, the following report(s) that were unavailable when the agenda was printed.

Agenda No	Item
5.	Traffic Regulation Orders Objections and Amendments (Pages 1 - 6) Report of Deputy Chief Executive (Place) to follow
6.	Requests for Waiting Restrictions (Pages 7 - 20) Report of Deputy Chief Executive (Place) to follow

Yours faithfully

Tim Row
Principal Democratic Services Officer

This page is intentionally left blank

Report of Deputy Chief Executive (Place)

to

**Traffic Regulation Working Party
and Cabinet Committee**

on

4th November 2019

Report prepared by Sharon Harrington,
Interim Group Manager Highways & Traffic Network

Traffic Regulation Orders Objections & Amendments

**Cabinet Member : Councillor Woodley
Part 1 Public Agenda Item**

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 For the Traffic Regulation Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to consider details of amendments and objections to Traffic Regulation Orders in respect of various proposals across the borough.

2. Recommendation

- 2.1 **That the Traffic Regulation Working Party consider the objections and amendments to the Orders and recommend to the Cabinet Committee to:**

- (a) Implement the proposals without amendment; or,
(b) Implement the proposals with amendment; or,
(c) Take no further action**

- 2.2 **That the Cabinet Committee consider the views of the Traffic Regulation Working Party, following consideration of the representations received and agree the appropriate course of action.**

3. Background

- 3.1 The Cabinet Committee periodically agrees to advertise proposals to implement waiting restrictions in various areas as a result of requests from Councillors and members of the public based upon an assessment against the Council's current policies.

3.2 The proposals shown on the attached **Appendix 1** were advertised through the local press and notices were displayed at appropriate locations informing residents and businesses of the proposals and inviting them to make representations in respect of the proposals. This process has resulted in the objections detailed in **Appendix 1** of this report. Officers have considered these objections and where possible tried to resolve them. Observations are provided to assist Members in their considerations and in making an informed decision.

3.3 The proposal shown on the attached **Appendix 2** did not require a traffic regulation order but was sent to statutory consultees for comment prior to implementation of the proposals and is included for Members information.

4. Reasons for Recommendations

4.1 The proposals aim to improve the operation of the existing parking controls to contribute to highway safety and to reduce congestion.

5. Corporate Implications

5.1 Contribution to the Southend 2050 Road Map.

5.1.1 Ensuring parking and traffic is managed while maintaining adequate access for emergency vehicles and general traffic flow. This is consistent with the Council's Vision and Corporate Priorities of Safe, Prosperous and Healthy.

5.2 Financial Implications

5.2.1 Costs for confirmation of the Order and amendments, in **Appendix 1**, if approved, can be met from existing budgets.

5.3 Legal Implications

5.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

5.4 People Implications

5.4.1 Works required to implement the agreed schemes will be undertaken by existing staff resources.

5.5 Property Implications

5.5.1 None

5.6 Consultation

5.6.1 This report provides details of the outcome of the statutory consultation process.

5.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications

5.7.1 Any implications will be taken into account in designing the schemes.

5.8 Risk Assessment

5.8.1 The proposals are designed to improve the operation of the parking scheme while maintaining highway safety and traffic flow and as such, are likely to have a positive impact.

5.9 Value for Money

5.9.1 Works associated with the schemes listed in **Appendix 1** will be undertaken by the Council's term contractors, selected through a competitive tendering process to ensure value for money.

5.10 Community Safety Implications

5.10.1 The proposals in **Appendix 1** if implemented will lead to improved community safety.

5.11 Environmental Impact

5.11.1 There is no significant environmental impact as a result of introducing the Traffic Regulation Orders.

6. Background Papers

6.1 None

7. Appendices

7.1 **Appendix 1** - Details of representations received and Officer Observations.
Appendix 2 – Details of responses received from statutory consultees

Appendix 1
Details of representations received and Officer Observations relating to the
Report on Traffic Regulation Orders

Item	1
Location	Albion Road Westcliff-on-Sea
Proposed by	Cabinet Committee
What is this request for	To consider feedback and officer recommendations
Proposal	Revocation of Alternate Monthly Parking on both sides of road
Current Restrictions (if any)	Alternate Monthly Parking on both sides of the road
Consultation dates	From 26/9/19 to 17/10/19
Number of properties consulted	60
Stakeholder feedback	N/A
Consultation feedback	7 letters received 3 in support and 4 against Main comments in support include would like road to be one way and 20mph, in favour of 2 side parking in favour of the scheme but thinks road should be one way due to road being narrow; Main comments against include bad idea, road to narrow; used as a rat run would have problems access driveways; would cause more congestion
Response rate	Only 12% response
% for / against	Of the 12% response 46% were in favour and 54% against
Officer Comments	Albion Road is a busy road between West Road and Hamlet Court Road and is used a short cut to Hamlet Court Road
Officer Recommendations	Due to the width of the road and the vehicular crossovers it would not suitable to allow parking on both sides. Therefore it is recommend not to proceed with this proposal
Any other information	

Item	2
Location	Osborne Road Westcliff-on-Sea
Proposed by	Cabinet Committee
What is this request for	To consider feedback and officer recommendations
Proposal	Revocation of Alternate Monthly Parking 9am – 6pm daily on both sides of road
Current Restrictions (if any)	Alternate Monthly Parking 9am – 6pm daily on both sides of road
Consultation dates	From 26/9/19 to 17/10/19
Number of properties consulted	46
Stakeholder feedback	N/A
Consultation feedback	<p>4 letters received – 2 in support (includes a petition with 42 signatures from 26 properties) main comments in support include will be great to park near to properties; one suggestion was for residents to have use of Hamlet Court Road Car Park and a permit parking scheme</p> <p>2 letters against main comments include has been raised before and not actioned; road too narrow; cars forced to park on pavements to allow emergency services and dustcarts to go down road; will encourage more cars from outside and not help residents;</p>
Response rate	Out of 46 properties consulted only 26 properties responded via a petition containing 42 signatures for the scheme. There were four further responses 2 were in favour and 2 against.
Officer Comments	Recommend to revoke the alternate monthly parking as advertised
Officer Recommendations	Approve as Advertised
Any other information	N/A

Appendix 2 – Details of responses received from statutory consultees

Item	1
Location	Chancellor Road
Proposed by	TBC
What is this request for	To consider feedback and officer recommendations
Proposal	To remove the Prohibition of Vehicle movements in the roads around the Travel Centre. Chancellor Road, Church Road, Heygate Avenue and Chichester Road.
Current Restrictions (if any)	<p>a) All vehicles except Buses, Taxis and goods vehicles from entering Church Road north of Chancellor Road and Chichester Road south of York Road.</p> <p>b) All vehicles travelling south on Church Road through the Chancellor Road junction</p> <p>c) All vehicles from turning right in to York Road</p>
Consultation dates	4 th – 14 th October 2019
Number of properties consulted	Statutory Consultees
Stakeholder feedback	2 responses. Police and Director of Culture, Tourism & Property (Scott Dolling)
Consultation feedback	<p>Police - No comments or concerns in relation to this proposal</p> <p>Scott Dolling – Supports the changes.</p>
Response rate	less than 5%
% for / against	0%
Officer Comments	N/A
Officer Recommendations	To proceed with the proposed changes.
Any other information	N/A

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Agenda
Item No.

6

Report of Deputy Chief Executive (Place)
to
**Traffic Regulations Working Party and Cabinet
Committee**
on
4TH November 2019

Report prepared by: Sharon Harrington,
Interim Group Manager Highways & Traffic Network

Requests for Waiting Restrictions

**Cabinet Member: Councillor Woodley
Part 1 Public Agenda Item**

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 For the Traffic Regulations Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to authorise the advertisement of the amendments and/or new restrictions/traffic Regulation Orders in accordance with the statutory processes.

2. Recommendation

2.1. **That the Traffic Regulations Working Party and the Cabinet Committee:-**

- a) **Consider the requests to advertise the requisite Traffic Regulation Orders as shown in appendix 1;**
- b) **If approved, further agree that in the event of there being no objections to the proposals, the proposal will be added to the existing work programme and the Traffic Regulation Order be confirmed;**
- c) **Note that all unresolved objections will be referred to the Traffic Regulations Working Party for consideration.**

3. Background

- 3.1 Requests for new or amendments to existing waiting or traffic restrictions are regularly received from residents and the businesses as well as officer and Member suggestions.
- 3.2 All requests are assessed and investigated against the policy criterion agreed criteria by the Cabinet Committee in November 2018.

4. Other Options

- 4.1 Each request needs to be considered on its individual merits and their impact on public safety, traffic flows or parking and wider impact on the surrounding network. Members may consider taking no further action if they feel it is appropriate.

5. Reasons for Recommendations

- 5.1 Where recommended the objective is to mitigate for likelihood of traffic flows being impeded, to improve safety or increase parking availability.

6. Corporate Implications

6.1 Contribution to the Southend 2050 Road Map

- 6.1.1 Ensure the highway network is effectively managed contributing to a Safe and Prosperous Southend.

6.2 *Financial Implications*

- 6.2.1 Where recommended, the source of funding will be from allocated budgets, where funding is provided from alternative budgets, this is highlighted as appropriate.

6.3 *Legal Implications*

- 6.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process will be completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation where applicable.

6.4 *People Implications*

- 6.4.1 Staff time will be prioritised as needed to investigate, organise the advertisement procedures and monitor the progress of the proposals based on the committee priorities.

6.5 *Property Implications*

- 6.5.1 None

6.6 *Consultation*

- 6.6.1 Formal consultation will be undertaken including advertisement of the proposal in the local press and on the street as appropriate.

6.7 *Equalities and Diversity Implications*

- 6.7.1 The objectives of improving safety takes account of all users of the public highway including those with disabilities.

6.8 *Risk Assessment*

6.8.1 Neutral.

6.9 *Value for Money*

6.9.1 All works resulting from the scheme design are to be undertaken by term contractors appointed through a competitive tendering process.

6.10 *Community Safety Implications*

6.10.1 All proposals are designed to maximise community safety through design, implementation and monitoring.

6.11 *Environmental Impact*

6.11.1 All proposals are designed and implemented to ensure relevant environmental benefits are attained through the use of appropriate materials and electrical equipment to save energy and contribute towards the Carbon Reduction targets where appropriate.

7. **Background papers**

None

8. **Appendices**

Appendix 1 – List of requests and comments

Appendix 2 – Breakdown of Item in Appendix 1 Item No. 2

Appendix 3 - Items Not Agreed to be Progressed

APPENDIX 1 – TRO CHANGES/WAITING RESTRICTIONS REQUESTS

Item	1
Location	Runwell Terrace
Proposed by	Officer request
What is this request for	Review of parking restrictions
Proposal	To remove approximately 17 metres of no waiting at any time on the north-east side outside Nos.4 and 5 Runwell Terrace and replace with 3 pay and display bays.
Current Restrictions (if any)	Pay and Display Bays and Double Yellow Lines
Reason for request	Following the re-surfacing of the road, the need for this section of yellow lines was reviewed as their purpose was no longer evident. The creation of additional bays will benefit both residents and visitors.
Has this request been made previously (if so when)	No
If this request was made previously what was the outcome	N/A
Who will be consulted	Residents, Ward Councillors and other statutory consultees
When will consultation take place	Early 2020
Officer Comments	Additional parking in the road is required to support residents and their visitors
Officer Recommendations	To proceed to statutory advertisement
Any other information	

Item	2
Location	Western & Eastern Esplanade Various Locations
Proposed by	Councillors request
What is this request for	To provide additional on-street pay and display parking
Proposal	Locations and officer comments shown at Appendix 2 – approved to proceed.
Current Restrictions (if any)	Double yellow lines and coach set down point
Reason for request	To maximise on-street parking on Western Esplanade and part of Eastern Esplanade
Has this request been made previously (if so when)	No
If this request was made previously what was the outcome	N/A
Who will be consulted	Councillors, affected businesses and Statutory Consultees
When will consultation take place	November 2019
Officer Comments	Officer comments on the proposals are contained at Appendix 2. Other locations not approved to proceed are listed in Appendix 3
Officer Recommendations	Proceed to statutory advertisement in accordance with officer recommendations
Any other information	

Item	3
Location	Ambleside Drive in the vicinity of the Adult Community College
Proposed by	Ward Councillors and members of the public
What is this request for	To review the waiting restrictions in the road
Proposal	To revisit changes to the existing no waiting at any time orders in the road following complaints that previous amendments to some of the double yellow line protection had caused increased risk to motorists and pedestrians
Current Restrictions (if any)	Junction protection and extended lengths of double yellow lines.
Reason for request	Restrictions in the road were reviewed previously to improve parking capacity but concerns have been received from motorists leaving the Adult Community centre.
Has this request been made previously (if so when)	Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee – 2 nd November 2017 Requests for Waiting Restrictions.
If this request was made previously what was the outcome	Agreed to advertise changes to the waiting restrictions to reduce various lengths of yellow line to allow more residents parking. Plans of existing and proposed restrictions to be displayed at the meeting
Who will be consulted	All affected residents and statutory consultees
When will consultation take place	2020
Officer Comments	Additional length of double yellow line is required on the east side of the western access to the Adult Community Centre and on the north side across the pram ramp in the vicinity of Nos.105 to 107 Ambleside Drive.
Officer Recommendations	Recommend to advertise the proposals
Any other information	

Item	4
Location	Leighville Grove
Proposed by	Councillor Wexham
What is this request for	Review of junction protection
Proposal	To reduce junction protection at its junction with Rectory Grove by 5 metres each side
Current Restrictions (if any)	15 metre junction protection both sides
Reason for request	To provide additional on street parking in this area of parking stress.
Has this request been made previously (if so when)	No
If this request was made previously what was the outcome	
Who will be consulted	Statutory consultees and local residents
When will consultation take place	November 2019
Officer Comments	As the road is now one way, junction protection into the side road can be reduced to provide much needed off street parking
Officer Recommendations	Recommend to advertise the revocations
Any other information	an order to make Leighville Grove one way southbound from Glendale Gardens to Rectory Grove came into effect on 20 th October 2016

Item	5
Location	Kings Road Westcliff on Sea
Proposed by	Councillor Folkard
What is this request for	Review of parking restrictions
Proposal	To remove limited waiting bay outside Nos.151 and 153 Kings Road.
Current Restrictions (if any)	Limited waiting Mon-Fri 8am-6pm 2hrs no return within 4hrs
Reason for request	This restriction was put in to assist patients at the dental surgery at No151 Kings Road. This surgery is now closed and the premises have reverted to a private dwelling.
Has this request been made previously (if so when)	no
If this request was made previously what was the outcome	N/A
Who will be consulted	Affected residents and statutory consultees
When will consultation take place	Early 2020
Officer Comments	The need for specific limited waiting bays at this location is no longer required and therefore can be removed
Officer Recommendations	Recommend to advertise the revocation of the limited waiting order
Any other information	

Item	6
Location	Sunningdale Avenue
Proposed by	Councillor Folkard
What is this request for	Review of junction protection at Sunningdale Avenue at its junction with Leigh Road
Proposal	To remove a section of line on the north side of Sunningdale Avenue that was put down on a temporary basis during construction of the flat development on the corner.
Current Restrictions (if any)	No waiting at any time on the north-west side for a distance of 33m and on the south-east side between Leigh Road and Rockleigh Avenue
Reason for request	This order should have been removed once the building works had been completed and is no longer necessary.
Has this request been made previously (if so when)	no
If this request was made previously what was the outcome	N/A
Who will be consulted	Ward councillors, residents and statutory consultees
When will consultation take place	Early 2020
Officer Comments	The removal of this section of line will provide up to 4 additional parking spaces in the road which suffers parking stress
Officer Recommendations	Recommend to advertise the revocation of the existing order – 10 metre junction protection to remain. There is an access to the car parking area of the block of flat which may be obstructed by parked vehicles if the lines are removed and would therefore recommend a driveway protection marking,.
Any other information	

Item	7
Location	Clarence Street, Clarence Road and Weston Road
Proposed by	Councillors and member of the public
What is this request for	Review of parking in the above roads which forms part of an ongoing town centre review
Proposal	To create additional parking within this area by the redeployment of some disabled bays, loading facilities and creation of additional spaces.
Current Restrictions (if any)	Pay and Display Bays, loading bay and hackney carriage stands
Reason for request	To provide additional on-street parking
Has this request been made previously (if so when)	No
If this request was made previously what was the outcome	N/A
Who will be consulted	Businesses, residents and statutory consultees
When will consultation take place	Early 2020
Officer Comments	The proposal will provide shared use loading and pay and display bays in both Weston Road and Clarence Street. Additional bays are possible by the removal of double yellow lines and the relocation of a number of disabled bays. Proposals should free up to 20 additional spaces
Officer Recommendations	Recommend to advertise the proposals
Any other information	

Item	8
Location	Civic Centre Underground Car Park
Proposed by	Officers
What is this request for	Removal of the car park for public use
Proposal	To revoke the current Traffic Regulation Order to remove public parking at weekends
Current Restrictions (if any)	The car park is currently designated for use as a staff permit holder car park during the working week and available at a charge for general use outside of these times
Reason for request	Public Safety
Has this request been made previously (if so when)	No
If this request was made previously what was the outcome	Not Applicable
Who will be consulted	Residents, Ward Councillors and other statutory consultees
When will consultation take place	As soon as practical
Officer Comments	There is no pedestrian access into or out of the car park meaning users have to walk on the traffic ramp. Staff have access to the building allowing safe access to and from the car park however, the public cannot access these areas. A full survey and consideration of potential resolutions has been undertaken and there is no means to provide safe access and egress for pedestrians. This creates a potential liability for the council in the event of an accident.
Officer Recommendations	To ensure the council does not have liability for any resulting accidents/injuries, it is recommended that the area is removed from the public parking portfolio and retained as available for staff use only. Plentiful public parking is available at the rear of the Civic Centre at the weekends for an all-day charge of £3.00.
Any other information	

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix 2 - Breakdown of Item in Appendix 1 Item No. 2

Item	Findings	Recommendation	Costs (estimated)
Removal of the yellow lines by the businesses under Pier Hill on Western Esplanade	The existing bay on the east side of Pier Bridge could be extended up to the controlled zone of the crossing with the addition of approx. 8 spaces.	Proceed to statutory advertisement	£4,600
Remove yellow lines outside the Yacht Club	Outside Alexandra Yacht Club on the north side there is a Coach Set Down bay which could be changed to additional parking for approx. 4 spaces.	Proceed to statutory advertisement	£4,600
Remove the yellow lines on Eastern Esplanade outside the Vaping Shop	Section of yellow line provides the nearby businesses with the opportunity to load and unload and would most likely attract objections. Consideration to be given to shared use loading 6am to 9pm and pay and display 9am to 6pm 3 additional spaces potentially to be gained	Proceed to statutory advertisement	£4,600
White line hatching outside Three Shells on Western Esplanade	Area used by the Three Shells to load and unload – therefore consider a shared use bay loading up to 9am and 9am – 6pm pay and display. Up to 3 additional spaces	Proceed to statutory advertisement	£4,600
Yellow lines outside the Marriott's on Western Esplanade	Would recommend that only 15m is used at the western end of the double yellow lines as the remaining lines should remain to enable large vehicles to turn through the intersection if required.	Proceed to statutory advertisement	£4,600

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix 3 – Items Not Agreed to be Progressed

Item	Findings	Recommendation	Costs (estimated)
Introduce parking bays on the soft verge area on Chancellor Road	Uneconomic proposal; civil works would provide 3 to 4 spaces and would cost in the region of £5k and removal valuable green space.	Not to progress	N/A
By the coach bay near the Pier	The area suggested is now marked out as a bus stop and is used by the open top bus during the summer months. No opportunity for additional parking	Not to progress	N/A
Provide parking on the area opposite Electric Avenue on Marine Parade	There are road safety issues with this proposal; to enter and leave the area would require vehicles to cross a shared use pedestrian / cycleway	Not to progress	N/a

This page is intentionally left blank